

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 FRED JAY JACKSON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 KARIM RASHEED,

15 Defendant.  
16

1:24-cv-00097-SAB (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42  
18 U.S.C. § 1983.

19 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity  
20 jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all  
21 defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which  
22 a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part  
23 of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an  
24 action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any  
25 defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. §  
26 1391(b).

27 In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in San Luis  
28 Obispo County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff’s claim should

1 have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the  
2 interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the  
3 correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir.  
4 1974).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United  
6 States District Court for the Central District of California.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: **January 23, 2024**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE